Speaker 1: Proposition

Ladies and gentlemen, today we affirm the resolution: "Government should implement universal basic income (UBI)." The rationale behind this policy is straightforward: UBI provides a safety net for all citizens, reducing poverty and inequality. In an ever-automating economy, UBI ensures financial stability for everyone, supporting economic growth and incentivizing innovation.

Speaker 2: Opposition

While the proposition paints an attractive picture, we must object strategically. Implementing UBI nationwide would lead to unsustainable government spending. This policy fails to consider inflationary pressures that could undermine its goals. Instead, targeted welfare programs are more financially viable and effective in addressing poverty without widespread economic disruption.

**Speaker 1: Proposition (Rebuttal) **

Thank you, opposition, for your points, but it is essential to strategically address your concerns. Studies have shown that UBI stimulates economic activity by increasing consumer spending, which helps offset inflationary risks. Moreover, simplifying the welfare system into a universal program reduces administrative costs, making it a more efficient use of government resources.

**Speaker 2: Opposition (Rebuttal) **

We appreciate the clarification, but the objection remains: increased spending does not guarantee effective distribution of resources. Strategic investments in education and healthcare offer direct benefits that UBI cannot. Furthermore, without proper financial planning, UBI could result in adverse economic impacts, such as increased taxes and fiscal deficits.

This illustration demonstrates a strategic exchange where both sides present their rationale and objections within a policy debate format.